



CHITTENDEN COUNTY RPC

Communities Planning Together

CCRPC Long Range Planning Committee

AGENDA

DATE: Thursday, January 12, 2017

TIME: **8:30am to 10:00am**

PLACE: CCRPC Office, 110 West Canal Street, Suite 202, Winooski, VT.

1. **Welcome** – 5 minutes
2. **Approval of November 10, 2016 Minutes*** (Action) - 5 minutes
3. **Plan Update Schedule** (Discussion) – 20 minutes
4. **Updates in the Works** (Discussion) – 30 minutes
 - a. Population Forecasts
 - b. Transportation Plan
 - c. Energy Planning
 - d. Education Strategy
 - e. Health Strategy
 - f. Online Capability for Plan Document
5. **Next Meeting** – 5 minutes
Thursday, February 9, 2017 from 8:30am to 10:00am
6. Adjourn

*=attached to agenda in the meeting packet

In accordance with provisions of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) of 1990, the CCRPC will ensure public meeting sites are accessible to all people. Requests for free interpretive or translation services, assistive devices, or other requested accommodations, should be made to Emma Vaughn, CCRPC Title VI Coordinator, at 802-846-4490 ext *21 or evaughn@ccrpcvt.org, no later than 3 business days prior to the meeting for which services are requested.

1 CHITTENDEN COUNTY REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION
2 LONG RANGE PLANNING COMMITTEE - MINUTES
3

4 DATE: Wednesday, November 10, 2016
5 TIME: 8:30 a.m. to 10:00 a.m.
6 PLACE: CCRPC Offices, 110 West Canal Street, Suite 202, Winooski, VT
7

Members Present

Ken Belliveau, Williston – PAC Rep
Alex Weinhagen, Hinesburg – PAC Rep
Justin Rabidoux, So. Burlington – TAC REP
Andrea Morgante, Hinesburg – Board Rep
Marc Landry, Colchester – Board Rep
Jim Donovan, Charlotte – Board Rep
Heather Danis – ECOS Steering Committee Rep

Edmund Booth – ECOS Steering Committee Rep (9:50am)
Chris Shaw, South Burlington – Board Rep

Staff

Regina Mahony, Planning Program Manager
Melanie Needle, Senior Planner
Charlie Baker, Executive Director
Eleni Churchill, Transportation Program Manager

8
9
10 **1. Welcome and Introductions**

11 Regina Mahony called the meeting to order at 8:35 a.m.
12

13 **2. Elect Chair and Vice Chair**

14
15 Marc Landry made a motion, seconded by Heather Danis, to approve elect Jim Donovan as Chair, and Chris
16 Shaw as Vice Chair. No further discussion. MOTION PASSED.
17

18 **3. Plan Update Schedule & Overview**

19 Regina Mahony stated that we need a draft of the ECOS Plan amendment done by December 2017 so that we
20 can start the official public hearing process and adopt by June 2018. Regina Mahony stated that we are hoping
21 to keep the goals and strategies the same as in the existing plan, as we don't anticipate the need for any policy
22 change. The Committee reviewed the schedule and Regina Mahony explained the specific sections that we'll
23 need to update. This includes the Metropolitan Transportation Plan and the transportation model with a new
24 demographic forecast (we'll discuss later in the agenda). The MTP will be updated by the TAC and presented
25 to the LRPC for recommendation to the full Board. While energy planning is incorporated into the current
26 Plan, we are currently working on a much more in depth energy planning effort under Act 174. There is an
27 energy sub-committee working on that effort and they'll also report to the LRPC. The Comprehensive
28 Economic Development Strategy will also need to be updated as it is on a 5 year cycle. We are not yet clear
29 on what needs to be updated in this section. There have been some CFR changes for this and we'll work with
30 GBIC on updating this. The LRPC asked that we also engage with the local economic development
31 committees. Ken Belliveau also suggested that we develop this section so that it can be helpful to
32 municipalities in developing their economic development elements. Andrea Morgante also suggested that the
33 RPC consider playing a role of tech assistance for municipalities. Charlie Baker stated when we developed
34 this Plan we had a consultant on board for about \$100,000 and even then we couldn't get them to disaggregate
35 data to the local level. So we aren't sure how much we'll be able to do. Regina Mahony then went over the
36 various sections throughout the rest of the Plan that will need to be updated. Heather Danis indicated that the
37 Social Community section really isn't that well fleshed out with the exception of health. Perhaps United Way
38 could take ownership of the other components of that section, or we should be more clear about what that
39 section actually contains. Charlie Baker indicated that we have very few resources in comparison to the last
40 update so we'll have to see what we can actually do. Jim Donovan asked what public engagement we plan on
41 doing. Regina Mahony explained that we weren't planning to do as much considering we don't anticipate
42 policy level changes. Charlie Baker asked if the Committee agrees that there isn't a need for policy changes?
43 The Committee generally agreed, but perhaps there should be some topic based public engagement –
44 particularly around transportation, energy and economic development. The Committee also discussed that the
45 plan should have a long-term horizon (2050), and then have a strategic 5 year implementation component.
46

4. Plan Update Organization

Regina Mahony reviewed a variety of potential re-organization options:

1. Keep the same but refer to online indicators.
2. Consider landscape layout, and maybe powerpoint documents? <https://www.nado.org/ceds-101-resources/>
3. Full online integration: <http://www.vtfarmtoplate.com/plan/>
4. Move to appendices for Chapter 4.

Regina Mahony explained that we'd like to be able to bring the strategies and actions to the front so the implementation section of the plan is most prominent, and perhaps get better at explaining the cross-sector implications of the Plan, and make the Plan live more online than in print form. The Committee discussed the audience of the Plan and what it is mainly used for – generally used by municipalities for grant applications and consistency with municipal plans, used by developers for development applications and by the general public's use for or against development applications. Otherwise it is mostly used by CCRPC to help set our workplan. There was also a discussion about whether we have the capacity to really do something different. Staff will think more on the capability of our existing website and scorecard. There was consensus to present the implementation section in more of a quick executive summary type product, with the availability to print it as a pdf, with links to more detail and background online. Staff will provide a recommendation in December.

5. Future Population Projections

Melanie Needle provided an overview of why we are doing the projections, and what the forecasts are that we are working with. Melanie Needle explained that we need forecasts for the metropolitan transportation plan (MTP), and the energy plan. The transportation model, used to help update the MTP, requires control totals for households and employment. Forecasts are also needed for modeling associated with energy planning. Because the energy plan work is part of a statewide effort, VEIC is using the high ACCD forecast for the modeling. Melanie explained that the consultant (from EPR) forecast that we got for the transportation model was developed for the State Transportation Plan for the Shumlin administration. This was a statewide projection that was disaggregated to the County. The LRPC reviewed the graphs that showed the differences between the various forecasts. There were some questions about the methodology EPR used, and a suggestion that the cohort survival method is the gold standard. There was also discussion about making sure we use the same forecast for the transportation model and the energy model. Melanie indicated that we may have a window to change the forecast in the energy model.

6. Next Meetings

Thursday, December 8, 2016 from 8:30am to 10:00am

Thursday, January 12, 2016 from 8:30am to 10:00am

11. Adjourn

The meeting adjourned at 10:00 a.m.

Respectfully submitted, Regina Mahony