



## CCRPC Long Range Planning Committee

### AGENDA

WIFI Info: Network = CCRPC-Guest; Password = ccrpc\$guest

DATE: Thursday, January 11, 2017

TIME: **8:30am to 10:00am**

PLACE: CCRPC Office, 110 West Canal Street, Suite 202, Winooski, VT.

1. **Welcome** – 5 minutes
2. **Approval of December 14, 2017 Minutes\*** (Action) – 5 minutes
3. **Overview of the Draft 2018 ECOS Plan\*** (Discussion) – 15 minutes  
The draft 2018 ECOS Plan is drafted, and while we will still be working on more edits we are close. **The entire draft Plan can be found here:** [ftp://ftp.ccrpcvt.org/ECOS/ECOSPlan2018\\_FirstPublicHearingDraft/](ftp://ftp.ccrpcvt.org/ECOS/ECOSPlan2018_FirstPublicHearingDraft/). This document is reorganized with the implementation section up front as the main Plan, and 6 supplements: Public Engagement & Process (was Chapter 1); Regional Analysis (was Chapter 2); Regional Plan Requirements (was part of Chapter 4), MTP (was part of Chapter 4), CEDS (was part of Chapter 4), and Enhanced Energy Planning analysis, targets & methodology (new). Staff will also discuss the Top Actions for CCRPC over the next five years as added to the main part of the Plan and attached directly in your packet; and a discussion on the indicators and how they will be presented in the Plan (see the colorful spreadsheet in your packet).
4. **Metropolitan Transportation Plan Draft** (Discussion) – 20 minutes  
We will review the comments received on the MTP. We've included the excel spreadsheet on the ftp site listed above so that you can easily sort or filter for the MTP comments. In addition, we will review the final edits to this section of the Plan.
5. **Energy Plan Public Comments** (Discussion) – 15 minutes  
Brief review of final edits including the municipal renewable energy generation targets, adjustment to energy code action, and adjustment in main Strategy 2 language ("locate" v. "strive" for 80% of development...).
6. **Comprehensive Economic Development Strategy (CEDS) Comments** (Discussion) – 10 minutes  
Brief review of final edits including the industrial lands description, the description of Smart Growth as an economic development strategy, and the discussion of freight rail.
7. **Act 171, Forest Integrity** (Discussion) – 10 minutes  
Explanation of how this requirement is addressed in the draft Plan.
8. **Recommendation to the Board** (Action) – 10 minutes  
Recommend that the Board accept this draft as the first public hearing draft of the 2018 ECOS Plan, and warn the first public hearing for February 21, 2018.
9. **Next Meeting**  
Thursday, **February 8, 2018 or March 8, 2018** from 8:30am to 10:00am
10. **Adjourn**

In accordance with provisions of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) of 1990, the CCRPC will ensure public meeting sites are accessible to all people. Requests for free interpretive or translation services, assistive devices, or other requested accommodations, should be made to Emma Vaughn, CCRPC Title VI Coordinator, at 802-846-4490 ext \*21 or [evaughn@ccrpcvt.org](mailto:evaughn@ccrpcvt.org), no later than 3 business days prior to the meeting for which services are requested.

1 CHITTENDEN COUNTY REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION  
2 LONG RANGE PLANNING COMMITTEE - MINUTES  
3

4 DATE: Thursday, December 14, 2017  
5 TIME: 8:30 a.m. to 10:00 a.m.  
6 PLACE: CCRPC Offices, 110 West Canal Street, Suite 202, Winooski, VT  
7

**Members Present**

Ken Belliveau, Williston – PAC Rep  
Heather Danis – ECOS Steering Committee Rep  
Justin Rabidoux, South Burlington – TAC Rep  
Andrea Morgante, Hinesburg – Board Rep  
Edmund Booth – ECOS Steering Committee Rep

**Staff**

Regina Mahony, Planning Program Manager  
Melanie Needle, Senior Planner  
Emily Nosse-Leirer, Planner  
Christine Forde, Senior Transportation Planner  
Peter Keating, Senior Transportation Planner  
Eleni Churchill, Transportation Program Manager  
Jason Charest, Senior Transportation Engineer  
Charlie Baker, Executive Director

8  
9  
10 **1. Welcome and Introductions**

11 Regina Mahony called the meeting to order at 8:36 a.m.  
12

13 **2. Approve Minutes**

14 Heather Danis made a motion, seconded by Edmund Booth to approve the minutes of November 9, 2017. No further  
15 discussion. MOTION PASSED.  
16

17 **3. Metropolitan Transportation Plan Draft**

18 Peter Keating provided a presentation on the full draft of the Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP). Peter  
19 Keating explained the purpose of the MTP and the required components. There was some discussion regarding the  
20 requirements and what Staff would do if any requirements change, such as a removal of the environmental  
21 mitigation review. These are minimum requirements and we can do more.  
22

23 Peter Keating explained the new safety performance measure for the fatalities/100 mile roads. There will be more  
24 performance measures added over the next few years. We can use the performance measures the State will use, or as  
25 the MPO, we can use something different if needed.  
26

27 The project list is still being worked on but a draft of it will be posted to the website by the end of this week.  
28

29 Peter Keating provided the components of the MTP Scenario that have been presented before.  
30

31 He also explained the environmental consultation and mitigation report section. This section largely describes the  
32 people or agencies that we would need to talk to when projects move forward. At this level it is not logical to do a  
33 full environmental analysis for every project on the MTP list.  
34

35 Heather Danis and Ed DeMott have drafted some language to make a better connection to public health and will  
36 provide that to Staff.  
37

38 Andrea Morgante asked about evaluating the work that we do, and how projects move from this Plan to scoping  
39 (what we put in the UPWP) to actual implementation. Also, what is our success rate, or lack of, in getting projects  
40 through the whole MTP, to UPWP (scoping), to TIP, to construction. Christine Forde mentioned that she tracks this  
41 and Andrea thought that presenting this to the Board would be interesting/valuable. For the ECOS Plan, Staff will  
42 add a section that describes the general progression of project development from the MTP to implementation.  
43

44 **4. Energy Plan Public Comments**

45 Melanie Needle informed the LRPC that we are not having any trouble meeting the County wide renewable energy  
46 generation target; however there are some issues at the municipal level. Global Foundries uses about 3 to 4x the  
47 amount of energy of all of Burlington; it is a big outlier. There was some discussion regarding the location of this

1 actual demand; it is more than likely from the manufacturing component which is on the Junction side rather than  
2 the Williston side. Andrea Morgante explained that this could be a good example of how we deal with issues at a  
3 County level. The Energy sub-committee will discuss this further next week.  
4

5 Melanie Needle provided an overview of the comments received on the Energy Plan. and specifically discussed:

- 6 • Line 10 – the commenter is asking for CCRPC to take a position on a carbon tax in the Plan. Staff finds that  
7 this is premature because there is a Governor’s Committee working on this, and CCRPC may want to wait  
8 on those results before taking a position. There was some discussion about how our role is more to  
9 implement the State’s energy goal rather than setting the State’s priorities. However, there was some  
10 discussion that we could take a more proactive approach and suggest that the State take this step. However,  
11 we won’t be able to have a policy discussion about a carbon tax within the timeframe of when we need to  
12 get this Plan adopted. Therefore, the prepared Staff response is the right approach.
- 13 • Line 11 – this is a comment about getting off of natural gas. The LRPC discussed that this is outside of the  
14 authority of the region and is in the hands of a State entity. It currently exists and while the fuel itself is  
15 relatively cheap, there is a significant value in the existing infrastructure that we won’t abandon easily.
- 16 • Line 16 – this is a comment about grid resilience and the need for back-up generators. VT is vulnerable to  
17 outages and resiliency is an important thing. However, fossil fuel generators are not the best answer.  
18 Storage is more likely the future solution. In fact Tesla power walls from Green Mountain Power are now  
19 available for \$15/month. This technology is already here, and this is a great solution. There was some  
20 discussion about explaining the terms used in the comment so readers can understand what we are talking  
21 about. We can also include a link to GMP’s website regarding the power wall program.
- 22 • Line 32 – same carbon tax issue as discussed above.
- 23 • Line 38 – this is a comment about the suitability language and lack of clear direction for the Public Utility  
24 Commission. Jim Donovan’s sent comments in before the meeting to indicate that he is still on board with  
25 “encourage” despite its flaws. There was some discussion regarding how much of a regulatory role we want  
26 to take. Other RPC’s do take more of a regulatory role, however we have not done that traditionally and  
27 conversations thus far with the Board don’t appear to be leaning in that direction. The LRPC thought that  
28 the current language is generally okay as is; though perhaps the energy sub-committee and Staff can come  
29 up with an alternative to “encourage” as it isn’t a particularly useful word.  
30

### 31 **5. Comprehensive Economic Development Strategy (CEDS) Comments**

32 Emily Nosse-Leirer provided an overview of the CEDS comments that we’ve received. Some large themes we  
33 heard about:

- 34 • Wage gap issues;
- 35 • Need for better broadband throughout the County;
- 36 • investing in downtowns and Villages doesn’t seem obvious in the CEDS component on its own however  
37 this is in the ECOS Plan. We need to make the connection stronger.  
38

39 Specific lines:

- 40 • Line 44 – this is a comment about whether we really have an under supply of industrial space? Emily  
41 Nosse-Leirer explained the additional conversations she has had with GBIC about this: there is a relatively  
42 good amount of square footage available; however a good number of businesses are not necessarily  
43 interested in re-configuring space. It’s GBIC’s experience that this is the case. Blodgett’s building, for  
44 example, is only set up well for a business that needs 150,000 sq.ft., rather than for 3 separate businesses  
45 that need 50,000 sq.ft. The LRPC discussed that adding more land isn’t necessarily going to help solve the  
46 problem of vacant space. We should not set up the market as a disincentive to re-use the vacant spaces.  
47 Williston already has plenty of industrial zoned land at Global Foundries that they haven’t done anything  
48 with; so why would we continue to re-zone more land for industrial? The LRPC discussed that the language  
49 should discuss use of existing vacant industrial land, and not call for additional industrial zoning.  
50

51 Next steps for Staff on the CEDS piece is to reconfigure it to match the new format; and re-organize for better  
52 readability.  
53

1 Heather Danis suggests that we make it clear that income and education are critical indicators for public health.  
2 Emily Nosse-Leirer indicated that the Plan already includes this language. Heather Danis will look at that section to  
3 see if this can be strengthened.  
4

5 **6. Other Business**

- 6 a. CEDS & MTP Project Lists – We are still collecting information from the municipalities and updating  
7 these lists.
- 8 b. Planning Area Map Changes. Melanie Needle provided an overview of a few minor future land use map  
9 changes including some color changes:
- 10 • Hinesburg- Modified the Village Planning Area to match the Village Growth Area
  - 11 • Westford-Modified the Village Planning Area to align with the Village Zoning District
  - 12 • Colchester- Modified the Village Planning Area along Mallets Bay Ave. to align with recent  
13 rezoning to the R2 District.
  - 14 • Update the colors to make it easier to discern the Metro Planning Area from the Suburban  
15 Planning Area
  - 16 • There may be an Underhill Village Planning Area change *IF* they pass some zoning changes on  
17 Town Meeting day. The village planning area will decrease.
- 18 c. Act 171, Forest Integrity – Regina Mahony indicated to the LRPC that the ECOS Plan already meets a lot  
19 of the new requirement; and the data is included in the work that we did for the energy planning land use  
20 constraints. Staff will continue to look into this and make edits accordingly for the January LRPC meeting.  
21

22 **7. Next Meeting**

23 The next meeting will be on January 11, 2017 from 8:30am to 10:00am.

24 **8. Adjourn**

25 The meeting adjourned at 10:06 a.m.

26  
27 Respectfully submitted, Regina Mahony

## CCRPC's Top Actions for the Next Five Years

While the next section provides the full implementation program for the ECOS Plan, this is a specific list that CCRPC will focus on in the Unified Planning Work Program in the next five years.

1. Support and educate municipalities in setting the stage for smart, multi-modal development in our areas planned for growth, and protection of our rural planning area, through plan and bylaw assistance, participation in the Act 250 Next 50 Years Committee, brownfields assessments, etc.
2. Maintain our existing transportation system in the county.
3. Invest in and support TDM partners and programs such as GMT, CATMA, CarShare, Local Motion and NeighborRides.
4. Invest in transportation improvements to support our areas planned for growth by expanding bike and pedestrian infrastructure and improving transit services.
5. Address safety and localized congestion issues on our roadways.
6. Invest in Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITS) to facilitate traffic flows on our arterials and minimize the need for major roadway expansion projects.
7. Promote a shift away from gas/diesel vehicles to electric or other non-fossil fuel transportation options.
8. Assist and educate municipalities with research and technical assistance to support housing development in our areas planned for growth.
9. Assist and educate municipalities in enhanced energy planning.
10. Assist the State and municipalities in implementation of the Lake Champlain TMDL and other water quality improvement efforts through participation in the Tactical Basin Plans, Municipal Road General Permit assistance, Regional Stormwater Education Partnership/Rethink Runoff, etc.
11. Assist municipalities and the state in emergency management planning through implementation of the AHMP, LEPC coordination, LEOP assistance, regional dispatch support, etc.
12. Continue coordination and support roles with public health partners such as Regional Prevention Partnership.
13. Monitor the advancement of autonomous vehicles and work with the state, municipalities, and other partners on preparations for this technology.
14. Continue annual coordination with our municipalities and partners to monitor and report on progress toward our shared goals.
15. Support efforts to attract and support the working age population.
16. Play a coordination role as needed to support and promote the tech sector.

### Note: questions from Joss

1. no mention of job creation and economic development ... certainly a statewide priority, are we doing well enough in Chittenden County to include this? I appreciate the tech sector support for our overall economy - but I don't think that sector hires alot of lesser-skilled people, and I think our economy has been leaving many of them behind leading to additional demands on social services and affordable housing.

2. regionalization - I've not tried to keep up with the regional dispatch process, but it seemed last year that regional services were something we wanted to focus on in the past few years ... how does that factor in with our current priorities?

Indicator	In Scorecard	Notes
Total Population-Chittenden County	Y	Plan reports this within Figure 2-Projected Population every 10 years, Scorecard reports it annually
Population Growth Rate Index of Chittenden County	Y	data is only available for decennial census?
Average Household Size-Chittenden County	Y	Scorecard reports annually and Plan is every ten years
Median Age	Y	Scorecard reports annually and Plan is every ten years
Percent of the Population Age 19 and Under- Chittenden County	Y	Scorecard reports annually to 2005, Plan reports every 10 years (1960-2011)
Percent of Population Age 20 to 24 -Chittenden County	Y	
Percent of Population Age 25 to 64- Chittenden County	Y	
Percent of Population Age 65 and older- Chittenden County	Y	Scorecards reports annually and Plan is every ten years
Percent of Residents Born in Chittenden County	Y	Scorecards reports annually and Plan is every ten years
Percent of Single Person Households in Occupied Units- Chittenden County	Y	Scorecards reports annually and Plan is every ten years
Percent of Population Age 5 and Older Speaking a Language Other Than English at Home	Y	Scorecard reports by County annually by population, Plan is by municipality and is based on households (ACS 2006-2010)
Percent of the Population that is Non-White and Hispanic	Y	Scorecards reports annually for population and Plan is based on households and 2010 Census
Projected Population Change in Chittenden County	N	
Household and Employment Forecast for Chittenden County	N	
Percent Change in Population by Race, Chittenden County, 2000 - 2010	N	
Disability status of Chittenden County residents relative to VT and US	N	source unknown
Chittenden County Land Cover Losses	Y	Scorecard reports acreage of wildlife habitat lost to development, Plan shows acres of vegetation, cultivated, and wetlands lost to development
Number and Length of Degraded Rivers/Streams	Y	Total Percent of Impaired Stream Miles shown in graph, Text in the Scorecard describes impairment by pollutant and location
Phosphorus level concentrations	Y	
Percent of Impervious Surface by Watershed	Y	Graph shows total percent of impervious surface, Scorecard text breaks impervious area by watershed
Ozone	Y	
Particulate Matter	Y	
Percent of land area is protected from development	Y	
Percent of Chittenden County's land area is available for recreation	N	
Percent of Towns with Zoning Standards and Guidelines for Protecting Identified Scenic Resources	Y	
GHG Emissions	Y	
Major Disaster Declarations	Y	Scorecard starts at 1997. Plan reports back to 1973-1977
Carbon Sequestration	Y	
Climate-Related Infectious Diseases	Y	Scorecard reports # of confirmed cases of Lyme exposed in Chittenden County (2009-2016), Plan reports hospital discharges for diagnosis of Lyme (2003-2009). The Scorecard data source is VDH Lyme Disease Surveillance which is a different more current source than what is in the Plan.
Heat Stress Related ER Visits	Y	2003-2015 on Scorecard
K-readiness	Y	Scorecard is only showing County average, data for each SD is available starting 2016-2017 school year and it is only one measure. Data in the Plan is based on the old methodology.
4th grade Reading Proficiency	Y	We track 3rd grade proficient for all students, free and reduced lunch, and non-free and reduced lunch in the Scorecard. Based on Smarter Balanced Assessment not NECAP
11th grade Reading proficiency	Y	We track proficiency for all students, free and reduced lunch, and non-free and reduced lunch in the Scorecard. The Plan reflects scores on the NECAP test which is no longer the AOE's testing instrument
8th grade math Proficiency	N	We no longer track this in the Scorecard
11th grade math proficiency	Y	We track proficiency for all students, free and reduced lunch, and non-free and reduced lunch in the Scorecard. The Plan reflects scores on the NECAP test which is no longer the AOE's testing instrument
11th grade Science Proficiency	Y	We track proficiency for all students, free and reduced lunch, and non-free and reduced lunch in the Scorecard
11th grade Science Proficiency on Dynamic Learning Maps Alternate Assessment	Y	
11th grade Math Proficiency on Dynamic Learning Maps Alternate Assessment	Y	
Drop-out Rates	Y	only update the six-year drop out rate for all high schools
Highest level of education attained for those 25 and over.	Y	Percent of Adults (25+) with High School Degree or Higher in Chittenden County, Percent of Adults (25+) with Bachelor's Degree or Higher in Chittenden County
# of regulated care and education programs (registered and licensed) in Chittenden County	Y	
percent of regulated care and education programs (registered and licensed) of high quality (4 & 5 Stars level) in Chittenden County	Y	
# of internship grants from the State of Vermont Department of Labor offered in Chittenden County	N	
Percent of Students Who Feel Valued by the Community	Y	
Cardiovascular Disease	N	In the Plan but not in the Scorecard. However, we report it in the annual report
All Cancers	N	In the Plan but not in the Scorecard. However, we report it in the annual report
Overweight	N	In the Plan but not in the Scorecard.
Obesity Prevalence	Y	reported in Scorecard for adults and youth
Poor Mental Health	N	not updated in the Scorecard
Percent of Adults who Report Binge Drinking in the Past 30 Days	Y	reported in Scorecard for adults and youth
Smoking Prevalence	Y	reported in Scorecard for adults and youth
Percent of Adults with asthma	Y	
Percent of adults meeting physical activity guidelines	Y	
Number of people treated for opiate abuse	Y	
Percent of Adults Who Report Using Marijuana in the Past 30 days	Y	
Percent of regional (Champlain Valley) adults who report illicit use of drugs other than marijuana in the past 30 days	Y	
Percent of adults (Champlain Valley) who report non-medical use of pain relievers in the past year	Y	
Percent of adolescents in grades 9-12 meeting physical activity guidelines	Y	
Percent of Youth (grades 9-12) who report using Alcohol in in the last 30 days	Y	
Percent of Youth (Grades 9-12) who Report Using Marijuana in the Last 30 Days	Y	
Violent Crime Rate	Y	
Rate of adult abuse and neglect victims	N	No data available
Incarceration rates by race compared to general population	N	No data available
Emergency Incidents	Y	
Fire Calls	Y	
% and number of structures in special flood hazard areas in Chittenden County	Y	
Percent of Children (Age 6 mo-8 yrs.) Immunized Against Influenza	Y	
Percent of Adults (65+) Immunized Against Influenza	Y	

	Indicates that there is a difference between Plan and Scorecard; we will make edits to ensure these match correctly and/or retain decennial census data in the Plan and keep the Scorecard more current with ACS data.
	No difference between Plan and Scorecard; so no change needed.
	New indicator added to Scorecard and is not in the Plan; so we will add these to the Plan.
	Indicator not on Scorecard but is in the Plan; these will stay in the Plan but not be added to the Scorecard b/c it isn't the right format for this data. This data may not be updated in the Plan.
	Not updated because indicator has been replaced or data not available; so we will delete this from the Plan.

Indicator	In Scorecard	Notes
American Red Cross # of incidents and persons where shelter was needed	N	
EMS aging calls	N	No data available
% of residents who say that they mostly have positive interactions in their communities (neighborhood, school/work, larger community).	N	No data available
% of residents who feel positive about the increasing ethnic diversity of our region	N	No data available
% of residents who feel that there are enough -opportunities to connect with others	N	No data available
% of residents who feel that there are enough -gathering places	N	No data available
Index of Dissimilarity	Y	
Participation at arts and cultural events	N	No data available
Number of FTE Art Teachers in County schools	N	
Number of FTE Art Teachers in County schools	N	
% of the Chittenden County population resides within 1/2 mile of parks or publicly accessible natural areas in urban areas and 1 mile in rural areas	N	not in the Scorecard
% of eligible voters that vote	Y	
% of students who spend 3+ hours/week volunteering	Y	replaced with Percent of Student who feel valued by community
Local elected officials by race, gender	N	No data available
Municipally-appointed commissions and boards	N	
% of residents that feel they have a meaningful voice in the decision-making processes that affect their life, neighborhood and community	N	
Job Growth Index	N	
Total number of businesses in Chittenden County	Y	
unemployment rate	Y	Scorecard uses a different data source and reports annually
Average Wages for All Businesses (in thousands)	Y	
Median Household Income	Y	ACS- 1 Year Estimate
Median Household Income by Race	Y	ACS- 1 Year Estimate
Percentage of Families whose Income in the Last 12 Months is Below Poverty Level	Y	ACS- 1 Year Estimate
Average Combined Housing + Transportation Costs	Y	
Use Value Appraisal (UVA) Enrollment-Forest Management	Y	
Use Value Appraisal (UVA) Enrollment-Agriculture	Y	
Number of Farms	Y	data in scorecard does not show by size
Average property taxes per acre for farms	N	we could include in Scorecard
net farm income per acre for farms	N	we could include in Scorecard
Change in use on Farm Acres	Y	
Major Land Use Categories	Y	
Percent of New Structures in Areas Planned for Growth; 1950 – 2010	N	This is not an indicator its analysis of past trends
% of private property investment is going into the Areas Planned for Growth	N	
Total new housing units built in Chittenden County	Y	
% of New Residential Development in Areas Planned for Growth	Y	
% of Residential Development in the Center Planning Area	Y	
Development Density by Planning Area, 2010	Y	
% households spending over 30% of income on housing expenses (owners and renters).	Y	
# of new housing units in 2010 by Municipality	Y	tracked by planning area
Metro and non-metro vacancy rate for renters	Y	
Months of inventory for Condos and Single Family Homes	Y	
Homeless at point in time	Y	Plan reports 3-year running average, Scorecard is showing annual change
Inventory of affordable rental housing	Y	
Percent of workers commuting by non-single occupant vehicle	Y	this is not in the Scorecard, Will add non-sov to scorecard
VMT Per Capita	Y	
Number of electric vehicles registered	Y	
Number of Vehicle Crashes Per Million Annual Vehicle Miles Traveled	Y	
Number of reported vehicle crashes involving bicycles or pedestrians	Y	
Drive Alone to Work	Y	
Walking/Biking Infrastructure	Y	
Sustainable Funding, System Preservation by Fiscal Year	Y	
CarShare VT Membership	Y	
GMTA Ridership per Fiscal Year	Y	indicator is in the MTP
Current Water Capacity and Reserve for Large Water Utilities	Y	
Wastewater Capacity	Y	
% of impervious area is under storm water management through operational stormwater permits + MS4 permits countywide	Y	
Pounds of Waste Disposed/Capita/Day for MSW (Municipal Solid Waste) and C&D (Construction Debris).	Y	
Energy Consumption Estimates and Population Trend in Chittenden County	N	not included on the Scorecard
Residential Energy per Household	N	not included on the Scorecard
Commercial and Industrial Energy per Employee	N	not included on the Scorecard
Transportation Energy per Person	N	not included on the Scorecard
Natural Gas Consumed	Y	
Natural Gas Savings	N	
Electricity Consumed	Y	
Electricity Savings	Y	
% of Electricity Consumed in Chittenden County from privately owned renewable sources	N	
Number and capacity of renewable energy production sites	Y	only report capacity in Scorecard

Indicates that there is a difference between Plan and Scorecard; we will make edits to ensure these match correctly and/or retain decennial census data in the Plan and keep the Scorecard more current with ACS data.

Indicates that there is a difference between Plan and Scorecard; we will make edits to ensure these match correctly and/or retain decennial census data in the Plan and keep the Scorecard more current with ACS data.

No difference between Plan and Scorecard; so no change needed.

New indicator added to Scorecard and is not in the Plan; so we will add these to the Plan.

Indicator not on Scorecard but is in the Plan; these will stay in the Plan but not be added to the Scorecard b/c it isn't the right format for this data.

Not updated because indicator has been replaced or data not available; so we will delete this from the Plan.