1	CHITTENDEN COUNTY REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION					
2	REGULAR MEETING MINUTES					
3	FINAL					
4						
5	DATE. Moderandou Moreh 17, 2021					
6 7	DATE:	,				
8	TIME: PLACE:					
9	PRESENT:	Bolton: Sharon Murray Buel's Gore: Garret Mott				
10	PRESENT.	Burlington:	Andy Montroll	Charlotte:	Jim Donovan	
11		Colchester:	Jacki Murphy	Essex:	Elaine Haney	
			• •		•	
12		Essex:	Jeff Carr (Alternate)	Essex Junction:		
13		Hinesburg:	Michael Bissonette	Huntington:	Barbara Elliott	
14		Jericho:	Catherine McMains	Milton:	Absent	
15		Richmond:	Bard Hill	St. George:	Absent	
16		Shelburne:	John Zicconi (6:32 PM)	So. Burlington:		
17		Underhill:	Absent	Westford:	Absent	
18		Williston:	Erik Wells	Winooski:	Michael O'Brien	
19		Cons/Env.:	Absent	VTrans:	Amy Bell	
20		Bus/Ind:	Absent	GMT:	Absent	
21		Agriculture:	Absent	Socio/Econ/Ho	using: Absent	
22						
23	Others:	Matthew Langham, VTrans		Meghan O'Rou	Meghan O'Rourke, CCTV	
24		Kevin Marshia, VTrans		Ben Mumma, University of Scranton		
25						
26	Staff:	Charlie Baker, Executive Director		Regina Mahony, Planning Prgm Mgr.		
27		Eleni Churchill, Trans. Prgm Mgr.		Amy Irvin Witham, Business Office Mgr.		
28		Marshall Distel, Trans. Planner		Emma Vaughn, Communications Mgr.		
29		Bryan Davis, Senior Trans. Planner		Jason Charest, Senior Trans. Planner		
30		Christine Forde, Senior Trans. Planer		Sai Sarepalli, Senior Trans. Planner		
31		Dan Albrecht, Senior Planner		, ,		
32		,				
33						
34						
35	1. Call to order; Attendance; Changes to the Agenda. The meeting was called to order at 6:02 PM by					
36	the Chair, Michael O'Brien.					
37						
38	2. Public Comment Period on Items NOT on the Agenda. There were none.					
39	2. Tubile comment remod on items NOT on the Agenda.					
40	3. Action on Consent Agenda, MPO Business.					
40 41	5. Action on Consent Agenua, IVIFO business.					
42	The consent agenda included the following requested TIP Amendments:					
43	 Shelburne Street Roundabout, Burlington, Project HP085, Amendment FY21-18; Add \$1,094,354 					
44 45	for preliminary engineering and \$3,112,613 for construction in FY21. The total project cost is					
45 46	\$12,426,967, a 51% increase. The reason for the increase is because the project requires large					
46 47	scale environmental investigations underground to locate, identify, and categorize,					

contaminated soils and groundwater.

47

Champlain Parkway, Burlington, Project HC001A, Amendment FY21-19; Reduce the TIP amount
in FY21 to \$4,206,967 to accommodate the Shelburne Roundabout amendment listed above;
\$4,793,003 remains in this project for FY21, which is adequate to accommodate anticipated
project expenses.

ANDY MONTROLL MADE A MOTION, SECONDED BY DAN KERIN, TO APPROVE THE CONSENT AGENDA ITEMS. THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY BY MPO MEMBERS.

- 4. Approve Minutes of the February 17, 2021 Board Meeting.
 - SHARON MURRAY MADE A MOTION, SECONDED BY CATHERINE MCMAINS, TO APPROVE THE FEBRUARY 17, 2021 BOARD MEETING MINUTES, WITH EDITS. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.
 - Edit: pg 1 line 39: Update "accepted" to accept.
 - Edit: pg 2 line 10: Update FY21 to FY22 in the reference to Federal Dollars.
 - Edit: pg 3 line 26: Update the word 'or' to 'in' ("in this phase of the I-89 Study").

5. Warn Public Hearing on FY22 UPWP and Budget for the May Meeting

Charlie noted the Executive Committee, the UPWP Committee, and CCRPC Staff recommends the Board warn for a Public Hearing at the May 2021 meeting for the draft FY22 UPWP and Budget. JIM DONOVAN MADE A MOTION, SECONDED BY GARRET MOTT, THAT THE BOARD WARN A PUBLIC HEARING FOR THE FY22 UPWP AND BUDGET AT THE MAY 2021 CCRPC BOARD MEETING. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

6. Town of Westford Plan Approval and Confirmation

Regina said the Town of Westford has a fully updated town Plan for the next 8 years. She referred members to the memo included in the packet. The Town of Westford has requested that the Chittenden County Regional Planning Commission (1) approve the 2021 Westford Town Plan, (2) confirm its planning process, and (3) grant a determination of energy compliance to the 2021 Westford Town Plan. The Plan was adopted by the Selectboard of the Town of Westford on February 25, 2021. As described in the proposed resolution, the PAC has held the required hearing, reviewed the Plan requests, requested a few minor edits (which were incorporated into the Plan) and recommends Board approval at this time. Please note that municipal planning process confirmation, plan approval and determination of energy compliance decisions shall be made by majority vote of the commissioners representing municipalities, in accordance with the bylaws of the CCRPC and Title 24 V.S.A.§ 4350(f).

GARRET MOTT MADE A MOTION, SECONDED BY CATHERINE MCMAINS TO APPROVE THE 2021 WESTFORD TOWN PLAN, CONFIRM WESTFORD'S PLANNING PROCESS, AND GRANT AN AFFIRMATIVE DETERMINATION OF ENERGY COMPLIANCE TO THE 2021 WESTFORD TOWN PLAN. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY BY MUNICIPAL MEMBERS.

7. Comments on Draft State Rail Plan

Charlie referred members to the Comments on Draft State Rail Plan memo included with the packet and introduced Marshall Distel. Marshall provided an overview of the Draft Vermont State Rail plan as released by VTrans for public input. The Plan provides a framework for maintaining and enhancing the State's freight and intercity passenger rail system. CCRPC staff reviewed the Plan and had a few comments on priority policy areas and requested clarifications on other areas of the Plan. In terms of priority, the CCRPC suggested moving the first three projects/initiatives listed below from the second to the first tier and the fourth project listed from the third to the second tier:

- Page #47: Burlington Railyard Enterprise Project (REP) should be elevated to the first priority set since this project was added to the proposed State Capital Program, FY22. Additionally, the note on table 6.1 should be updated to reflect the status of the REP and correct the statement that this project is seeking grant funding.
- Page #47: The Passenger Rail Station improvements should be broken out into individual projects, given the differing timeframes and priorities. Essex Junction improvements should be within the first priority set.
- Page #48: Publicize existing voluntary efforts of railroads and encouraging "freight as a good neighbor" should be moved from the second to the first priority set.
- Page #49: The Burlington to Essex track improvements and connecting the Ethan Allen Express with the Vermonter should be elevated to the second priority set. This connection is necessary to achieve the State's Energy Plan goal of quadrupling Vermont-based passenger rail trips to 400,000 annual trips.

The requested clarifications include:

- Page #1: Even though we understand why commuter rail is not addressed in the State Rail Plan but included in the Public Transit Plan, it will be helpful to briefly describe the reasons for this.
- Page #21: More details are needed to explain Positive Train Control.
- Page #32: It will be important to reference training first responders with regard to hazardous materials being moved by rail.
- Page #34: For the performance measures listed, are there associated target years related to those not being met?
- Page #38: In table 6.1, connecting the Ethan Allen Express with the Vermonter should be
 assigned an ID#. Rather than highlighting this as a complicated issue, it would be beneficial to
 detail how this would connect Vermont's eastern and western corridor intercity rail services that
 would help increase ridership.
- Page #40: In table 6.1, the initiative to explore transit-oriented development (TOD) could use more details. What does municipal support look like? RPCs should be added to as an involved party in these discussions.
- Page #50: The funding discussion could be more robust in terms of detailing opportunities to
 address the projected rail funding gap. There was a mention of innovative funding approaches
 in table 6.1, but no details were provided. It would be beneficial to reference the potential of
 public-private-partnerships.

Jim Donovan stated that the town of Charlotte also reviewed the Draft State Rail plan. They agree with the input from the CCRPC and have a few additional comments and edits to share regarding a concern for the storage of hazardous materials. Jim explained, since railyards are operating and governed under Federal Government regulations, the towns are limited in terms of what they can do about hazardous material storage. Although there is information detailing what to do if a disaster occurs, there is no information outlining disaster prevention measures. Given the extensive costs associated with a clean-up, there needs to be clear information provided on who is responsible for the associated costs; is it the State of Vermont or the Federal Government? Jim provided the following suggested edits:

- 1 2
- 3 4
- 5 6 7
- 8 9 10
- 11 12

15 16

17 18

19 20

21 22

24 25

23

26 27 28

29 30 31

33 34

32

35 36 37

38 39 40

41 42

43 44

47

45

46

- In relation to overall safety concerns, to initiate efforts to improve oversight and regulation of hazardous material storage in rail cars outside of rail yards at federal and state levels.
- Page #32: Regarding first responders, clarify which entities are ultimately responsible for bearing costs associated with a hazardous material spill or explosion response.

Garret said he completely agrees with Jim, that it makes way more sense to set measures that will prevent a disaster from occurring. He feels rail service should be expanded and encouraged as it is more efficient than trucking. He would also like to see the State Rail Plan incorporate and work hand in hand with the Public Transit Rail plan in order that everything pertaining to rail is in the same place.

JIM DONOVAN MADE A MOTION, SECONDED BY JACKI MURPHY, TO APPROVE THE COMMENTS AND SUGGESTED EDITS TO THE DRAFT STATE RAIL PLAN. MOTION CARRIED WITH ONE ABSTENTION FROM AMY BELL.

Jeff said he feels the state is making tremendous strides in laying out the groundwork and creating opportunities for railways and we appreciate the advancements that have been made.

John Zicconi joined the meeting at 6:32 PM. He was not present previously, due to a potential conflict of interest regarding the State Rail Plan discussion.

8. VPSP2 Process and Initial Review of Potential FY23 Transportation Projects

Charlie introduced Kevin Marshia, Director of Asset Management at VTrans. Charlie said Christine Forde has been working with VTrans staff on the prioritization process. Kevin shared a PowerPoint presentation on project prioritization with members, VTrans Project Selection and Project Prioritization Process. He explained this process was designed to help create an organized, efficient, and streamlined system to improve on the partnerships and lines of communication between VTrans, Vermont Legislature and the Regional Planning Commissions. The vison statement reads, "Develop a performance-based, data driven project selection and prioritization framework that maximizes the 'transportation value' delivered to Vermont taxpayers"

Project objectives include the following:

- Identify and utilize criteria that provide "transportation value" within potential and planned VTrans projects.
- Develop a mechanism for RPC and communities to provide input in the selection and prioritization of transportation projects.
- Provide a defined, consistent, and transparent process for selecting and prioritizing the projects that ultimately make up VTrans Capital Program.

Stakeholder workshops were held to assess the current process and develop evaluation criteria. Participants in the workshops included VTrans, RPC's, state agencies (VDH, ANR, ACCD and VEM), special interest groups (VLCT, VCIL, AARP and AAA), and modal interest groups (Rail Council, Rail Operators, Bike/Ped Groups, Transit providers, and the Vermont Truck and Bus Association).

The following five modes of transportation were factored into the process:

- Highway
- Rail

- Walking Trails and Paths
 - Transit
 - Aviation

The following eight criteria and corresponding maximum scores were developed:

- Safety = 20 points
- Asset Condition = 20 points
- Mobility/Connectivity = 15 points
- Community = 10 points
- Economic Access = 10 points
- Environment = 10 points
- Resiliency = 10 points
- Health Access = 5 points

The Highway Mode Project selection is set as a two-year pilot; in year one (2021) paving, roadway, traffic, and safety programs will be addressed. In year two (2022) bridge programs will be addressed. The process addresses asset driven and regionally driven projects. There is a nine-step process to identify potential projects. Grant programs, Rail, Aviation, Public Transit are under development. The outline for the project selection process steps and associated timeframes are as follows:

- 1. VTrans develops programmatic budgets, September 1, to October 31.
- 2. VTrans performs network level analysis to identify list of potential projects and develops preliminary transportation value for the five VPSP2 criteria, November 1, to February 28.
- 3. VTrans transmits list of Asset Driven potential projects and associated transportation value to RPC, March 1.
- 4. RPC to provide transportation value scoring for three VPSP2 criteria for list of Asset Driven potential projects, March 1 to May 31.
- 5. RPC to identify regionally driven potential projects and works with VTrans to calculate preliminary values for the projects, March 1 to May 31.
- 6. RPC's transmit list of asset and regionally driven potential projects and associated values to VTrans June 1.
- 7. VTrans compiles all RPC input and value and identifies list of potential projects recommended for inclusion in the Capital Program, June 1 to July 31.
- 8. VTrans sends recommended projects to RPC for review, comment and response, August 1 to September 30.
- 9. VTrans finalizes the list of potential projects that will become projects to be included in the Capital Program.

Jeff asked, in developing the final project rating, how we can ensure the CCRPC staff capabilities are being fully utilized? Kevin explained VTrans relies on the CCRPC staff and, together, have a very good working relationship with the RPC. Charlie thanked Kevin for his presentation and reminded everyone we are in the first year of a pilot. Charlie said this is a new level of transparency and we want to make sure the board is aware of how the process looks. We will want to review the list of projects to see how they rank and score. Over the next few months, the Transportation Advisory Committee (TAC) will work on the regionally driven project list. We expect there will need to be some modifications in the overall process. The issue of equity has come up in the legislature, and

we hope we can work out the details over the next couple of years. This is the first opportunity we have had to see how to move a project into the Capital Program. Charlie thanked VTrans for extending this opportunity to us. He explained some of our criteria fall outside of the 8 listed and many of our projects should rank very well in VPSP2. Christine has a list of eligible, potential projects that will be going through with the TAC. Sharon asked to have more details on the criteria; how do the policies we are working with fit into the criteria? Charlie explained, at this stage, we are prioritizing the problems and needs rather than a project, since things are not fully designed, and we are usually a step ahead since we have completed scoping studies. Members discussed and agreed there will be a lot to learn as we move through the pilot.

9. Equity Leadership Team, Member appointments

Mike said we have several members interested in volunteering for the Equity Leadership Team and asked Charlie to provide a brief overview to members. Charlie explained one of the goals in working with our equity consultant, Creative Discourse, is to establish an internal CCRPC Equity Leadership Team to lead the work. This team will consist of five to seven people, made up of three to four Board members, two CCRPC staff (Bryan and Emma) and one person from the Creative Discourse team. We would like to see one Executive Committee member and three more Board members. Mike said current volunteers are himself, Catherine McMains, Justin Rabidoux Don Meals, Jacki Murphy, and Elaine Haney. Mike thanked the volunteers and asked if there is anyone else who is interested, please let him know.

10. Charge to Board Development Committee for FY22 Nominations

Andy Montroll charged the Board Development Committee with developing a slate of officers for FY22. Andy asked anyone who is interested in serving on the executive committee, please contact him or Charlie before our May 2021 board meeting. The nominations will need to be formalized before the June 2021 board meeting.

11. Chair/Executive Director Report

a) <u>UPWP Update</u> Charlie feels the FY22 UPWP and Budget is in good shape. We have sorted out the staff hours and continue working to refine a few projects with towns. Overall, it looks great. We were able to accommodate almost everything proposed. There is a UPWP Committee Meeting next week.

b) <u>ECOS Annual Report</u> Charlie said there is an updated version that should be ready before the April Board meeting.

c) <u>I-89 Board Workshop</u> Charlie said members suggested having a discussion on the specifics of metrics and scoring and he is wondering who is interested in participating in an I-89 Workshop? Various members, including Jeff, Jim, Sharon, Mike, and Chris, expressed interest.

d) <u>Legislative Update</u> Charlie stated we are currently in the middle of the legislative session. With so much going on, it can be hard to follow, and he appreciates the VLCT and VPA summaries. A couple of interesting items are with the Cannabis Bill; under current law municipalities need to opt in for a retail establishment to open. The proposed bill (S.25) states if the municipality does not specifically vote "no" by March of 2023, the town would need to allow a retail establishment to operate. This is not yet a law, it is still a bill that is being discussed, but this is a significant change in the approach. Charlie said he is not sure if we need to weigh in on this, but he wants

1 to make sure our towns are aware. Charlie said the RPC's have requested a 20% increase in the 2 Regional Planning Grant. Since there has not been an increase to program funding since 2002, 3 there is some support for this, and it is early to know if it will be in the approved budget. Charlie 4 explained Senator Sirotkin continues to work on the Housing Bill that would help incentivize 5 towns to update zoning to encourage housing in smart growth locations. Additionally, the 6 Rental House Registry Bill is moving forward. This would take some responsibilities away from 7 Town Health Officers and shift the work of a statewide inspection system to the Division of Fire 8 Safety. Charlie said the Project Based Tax Incremental Funding (TIF) bill may not move forward, 9 which would likely affect some of our towns, like Westford as they are trying to get community wastewater to the village. There may be low or no interest loan dollars available, but Charlie is 10 11 not sure how this will look. There are federal funds available from the CARES Act and the American Rescue Plan that just passed in congress, approximately \$197 Million dollars will be 12 13 distributed across Municipalities; this works out to around \$300 per resident. This will be 14 distributed in two separate phases. There will be specific purposes to address, such as a deficit 15 caused by COVID to cover emergency response efforts. There is a line that allows for necessary investments in water, sewer, and broadband infrastructure. Discussions have been taking place 16 17 on how RPC's can help towns follow the compliance rules on how these funds can be spent. 18 Charlie asked members to let him know if they have additional questions. He said the first 19 round of funds will need to be distributed within the next 90 days, and then the second round 20 within 9-12 months. Once distributed, the money must be spent by December 2024. Catherine 21 asked about Broadband coverage and gaps. Charlie said we currently have a pretty good map 22 that shows where Broadband works and where it does not, and we have better data about the 23 speed of internet in different locations. Jeff said VPS did a study with Matt Dunn's group in how 24 to respond to COVID which include how to establish statewide broadband coverage. Charlie 25 said he, Regina, and Pam, have information on this, and they are starting to work with public 26 providers to figure out how the funds can be used to fill in some of these gaps. There will also 27 be some state rescue plan dollars available. Andy stated he is a good resource for this as well 28 and is happy to talk more about this. Jim asked if it is possible to share the detailed information 29 on the broadband coverage with towns, especially where the gaps are. Charlie will follow up on 30 this.

31 32

33

12. <u>Committee/Liaison Activities & Reports</u>. Mike noted that minutes for our committees were included as links as well as documents within the packet (Executive/Finance Committee, TAC, PAC, MS4 Sub-Committee and CWAC).

34 35 36

37

38

39

13. <u>Future Agenda Topics</u>. Charlie said the Board Development Committee will present a slate of officers, we will look at the Draft FY22 UPWP and Budget, and Garret had asked for information on Electric Vehicles and gas tax revenue, so we will work to schedule guest speakers on that topic. Andy asked Charlie to schedule a meeting for members of the Board Development Committee. Charlie also stated we will adopt the FY22 UPWP and Budget in May

40 41 42

14. Members' Items, Other business. There were none.

43 44

15. <u>Adjournment</u>. ANDY MONTROL MADE A MOTION, SECONDED BY GARRET MOTT, TO ADJOURN THE CCRPC MARCH BOARD MEETING AT 7:34 PM. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

45 46

- 47 Respectfully submitted,
- 48 Amy Irvin Witham