



Transportation Advisory Committee Agenda

Tuesday, May 4, 2021

9:00 to 10:30 am

Remote Access Meeting Only

Please join the meeting from your computer, tablet or smartphone:

<https://us02web.zoom.us/j/82462008536?pwd=NVIlaWlyZEU2aXRaNHUxZlY5cHoyZz09>

Meeting ID: 824 6200 8536

Password: 684952

You can also dial in using your phone.

United States one-tap mobile: <tel:+16468769923,,82462008536#,1#,684952#>

New to Zoom? Download the app: <https://www.zoom.us/>

Agenda

1. Call to Order, Attendance, Welcome new members Jill Allen and Sam Andersen (1 min)

2. Consent agenda* (5 min)

See attached memo.

3. Minutes of April 6, 2021* (Action Item – 1 min)

See attached minutes.

4. Public Comment Period (Information item)

Members of the public are invited to raise issues of interest or concern to the TAC on items not on the agenda.

5. Draft FY22 UPWP Work Plan and Budget (Action Item – 20 min)

Marshall Distel and Eleni Churchill, CCRPC staff, will review the draft FY22 UPWP (CCRPC work plan) and ask the TAC for a recommendation to the Executive Committee and Board. [Link to Draft FY22 UPWP →](#)

6. Traffic Impact Studies 101 (Discussion Item – 15 min)

Jason Charest, CCRPC staff, will give an overview of traffic impact studies and point out common issues for which municipal reviewers should be aware. If there is enough interest from TAC members or other municipal staff, CCRPC and VTrans could host a more detailed workshop at a later date.

7. VPSP2 Proposed Project List: Transportation Equity Screen* (Discussion Item – 30 min)

Christine Forde and Bryan Davis, CCRPC staff, will give an update on the VTrans project scoring review and describe the CCRPC's initial equity screening process.

8. Request for RFQ Reviewers (Action Item – 5 min)

The CCRPC released a Request for Qualifications to select pre-qualified consultants for the next two years, with the

In accordance with provisions of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) of 1990, as amended, the CCRPC will ensure public meetings are accessible to all people. Requests for free interpretive or translation services, assistive devices, or other requested reasonable accommodations, should be made to Emma Vaughn, CCRPC Title VI Coordinator, at 802-846-4490 ext. *21 or evaughn@ccrpcvt.org, at least 3 business days prior to the meeting for which services are requested.

option to extend. Staff is asking for TAC representatives to serve on the proposal review committee.

9. Status of Projects and Subcommittee Reports (Information Item – 1 min)

See bulleted list at the end of the agenda for current CCRPC projects. TAC members are encouraged to ask staff for more information on the status of any of these on-going or recently completed projects.

10. CCRPC Board Meeting Report (Information Item – 2 min)

In April the Board recognized the life and sudden passing of Marty Illick, a long-time CCRPC Board member and passionate advocate for the Lewis Creek watershed and beyond. She is already missed. The Board reviewed the draft FY22 UPWP, heard a presentation from VEIC and VTrans on electric vehicles, reviewed the initial VPSP2 project list, approved updates to the TIP amendment policy, learned of appointments to the Equity Leadership Team, reviewed nominations for FY22 Board Leadership positions, and heard Executive Director updates.

11. Chairman's/Members' Items (Information Item – 5 min)

- **VTrans 2021 VTrans Bicycle and Pedestrian Grant Program**
The Vermont Agency of Transportation (VTrans) has issued a grant solicitation for new infrastructure projects that improve access and safety for bicyclists and pedestrians. Materials and information at <https://vtrans.vermont.gov/highway/local-projects/bike-ped>. Applications must be received by 1:00 p.m., June 4, 2021. A pre-application training webinar will be offered on April 27. For more information contact Jon Kaplan at 802-498-4742 or Jon.Kaplan@vermont.gov.
- **Way to Go! Spring Challenge May 1-16**
Spring is here, so it's a great time to Get Up and Go! Take the challenge to walk, bike, roll, bus, or carpool May 1-16. Whether you're getting healthy, supporting a clean, green Vermont, or doing your part to battle pollution, you can earn points to win awesome prizes. Learn more and sign up here <https://www.connectingcommuters.org/waytogo/>

* = Attachment

Next Meeting: Tuesday, June 1, 2021

Potential Future Agenda Items:

- June
 - Approval of RFQ consultants
- Later
 - Traffic calming policies
 - Bike lane design guidance
 - Local Motion present bike facility winter maintenance based on Montreal learning journey
 - Elders and Persons with Disabilities update (June Summit)
 - AID grant/ Bluetooth sensors update
 - Title VI and racial equity update
 - Burlington School District travel plans for 9 schools

In accordance with provisions of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) of 1990, as amended, the CCRPC will ensure public meetings are accessible to all people. Requests for free interpretive or translation services, assistive devices, or other requested reasonable accommodations, should be made to Emma Vaughn, CCRPC Title VI Coordinator, at 802-846-4490 ext. *21 or evaughn@ccrpcvt.org, at least 3 business days prior to the meeting for which services are requested.

Project List:

- Title VI program participation and Public Participation Plan implementation
- Participation in the Vermont Highway Safety Alliance
- Participation in the State's Rail Council
- Advanced Traffic Monitoring System through FHWA AID grant – Maintenance and Monitoring
- Regional Transportation Energy Planning
- Transportation Hazard Mitigation Planning
- Coordination with United Way on Neighbor Rides and Elders & Persons with Disabilities Program analysis
- Coordination with GMT on ADA and Elders & Persons with Disabilities advisory committees
- Chittenden County I-89 2050 Study
- Winooski Avenue Parking Management Plan (Burlington)
- So. Burlington VT116-Kimball-Tilley Land Use and Transportation Plan (*Completed*)
- Update to South Burlington's Transportation Impact Fee Ordinance
- Municipal Road General Permit (MRGP) Work
- Grants-In-Aid Coordination with Municipalities.
- 2020/2021 Way to Go! to School
- Greenride Bikeshare
- Congestion Policy Evaluation
- Lower Village Supplemental Scoping Study (Huntington)
- Colchester Ave Protected Bike Lanes and East Ave Intersection Improvements (Burlington)
- CCTV Stormwater infrastructure inspection project (Essex/Essex Junction)
- CCTV Stormwater infrastructure inspection project (Winooski) (*Completed*)
- Essex Tanglewood Drive Shared-Use Path and Stormwater Scoping study
- Watershed Resiliency Mapping/Transportation Resiliency Planning Tool (TRPT; Bolton, Richmond, Huntington (and a little bit of Jericho, Essex and Williston)
- River/Pleasant Valley Road Bicyclist and Pavement Analysis (Underhill)
- Winooski Transportation Impact Fee Study (*Completed*)
- LPM services for Underhill sidewalk construction on VT 15
- LPM services for Hinesburg – Village South Area Sidewalk on VT 116
- LPM services for Shelburne – Irish Hill Road Sidewalk and Pedestrian Bridge project
- Property Transportation Plan: Reduce transportation emissions in commercial and affordable multi-unit sectors with Burlington Electric Department and Burlington 2030 District
- Richmond Bike/Ped/Trails Master Plan
- Bridge Street (Richmond) Complete Streets Corridor Study
- Old North End Mobility Audit (Burlington)
- City of Burlington School District School Travel Plan and Traffic Control Plan
- Close the Gaps in Regional Bike Facilities
- CCRPC Bicycle Count Program Evaluation and Data Analysis
- Winooski Parking Inventory, Analysis, and Management Plan
- Development of Performance Parameters for the Shelburne Street Roundabout
- Huntington Bridge Improvement & Maintenance Plan
- South Burlington Bike/Ped Mapping Phase II
- Exit 17 Park & Ride Supplemental Evaluation

In accordance with provisions of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) of 1990, as amended, the CCRPC will ensure public meetings are accessible to all people. Requests for free interpretive or translation services, assistive devices, or other requested reasonable accommodations, should be made to Emma Vaughn, CCRPC Title VI Coordinator, at 802-846-4490 ext. *21 or evaughn@ccrpcvt.org, at least 3 business days prior to the meeting for which services are requested.



CHITTENDEN COUNTY RPC

Communities Planning Together

- Jericho Riverside Village Sidewalk Scoping Study
- Jericho Bolger Hill Road Supplemental Scoping
- South Burlington Multi-site Intersection Scoping
- Williston Route 2A Connector Path Scoping Study

In accordance with provisions of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) of 1990, as amended, the CCRPC will ensure public meetings are accessible to all people. Requests for free interpretive or translation services, assistive devices, or other requested reasonable accommodations, should be made to Emma Vaughn, CCRPC Title VI Coordinator, at 802-846-4490 ext. *21 or evaughn@ccrpcvt.org, at least 3 business days prior to the meeting for which services are requested.



CCRPC Transportation Advisory Committee

May 4, 2021

Agenda Item 2: Consent Item

FY2021 TIP Amendments

Issues: Make the following changes to the FY21 year of the TIP. These amendments will change both the FY2021-2024 TIP and the FY2020-FY2023 TIP because the FY2021 TIP has not yet been approved by FHWA.

I-89 Culvert, Colchester (Project BR065, Amendment FY21-24)

Description of TIP Change: Add \$205,245 in federal funds to rehabilitate a culvert on I-89 at north bound mile marker 97.45.

Airport Drive Infiltration Project, South Burlington (Project OT048, Amendment FY21-25)

Description of TIP Change: Add a new Municipal Highway and Stormwater Mitigation program award for a stormwater infiltration project in South Burlington. Add \$60,000 for preliminary engineering in FY21, \$8,000 for right-of-way in FY22, and \$320,000 for construction in FY23.

VT2A Connector Path – Beaudry Lane to VSECU, Williston (Project BP112, Amendment FY21-26)

Description of TIP Change: Add a new Transportation Alternatives award for a connector path along VT2A in Williston. Add \$46,512 for preliminary engineering in FY21.

Spear Street Bike/Ped Connector – US Forest Service to Swift Street, South Burlington (Project BP113, Amendment FY21-27)

Description of TIP Change: Add a new Transportation Alternatives award for a connector path along Spear Street in South Burlington. Add \$53,904 for preliminary engineering in FY21.

Exit 16 Improvements, Colchester (Project HP102, Amendment FY21-28)

Description of TIP Change: Remove \$4,794,000 in federal funds for construction in FY21 and add \$1,250,000 for preliminary engineering in FY21. The current project schedule does not anticipate that construction funds will be needed in FY21 (before September 30, 2021). Additional preliminary engineering funds are needed.

Class I Concrete Pavement Repair, US7 and West Allen Street, Winooski (Project HP145, Amendment FY21-29)

Description of TIP Change: The low bid for this project was \$1,297,953 and the project cost in the TIP is \$1,150,000 which is a 13% increase in project cost. Add \$222,362 in federal funds in FY22 for this project.

Exit 17 Improvements, Colchester (Project BR050, Amendment FY21-30)

Description of TIP Change: Advance \$600,000 in right-of-way funds from FY20 to FY21. The FY20 TIP had \$800,000 for right-of-way but only obligated \$100,000. An additional \$600,000 is needed.

Staff Recommendation: Recommend that the TAC approve the proposed TIP Amendments

For more information contact: Christine Forde
cforde@ccrpcvt.org or 846-4490 ext. *13

1 CHITTENDEN COUNTY REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION
2 TRANSPORTATION ADVISORY COMMITTEE
3 MINUTES
4

5 DATE: Tuesday, April 6, 2021
6 TIME: 9:00 a.m.
7 PLACE: Meeting held remotely via Zoom
8

9 **Members Present**

10 Bryan Osborne, Colchester
11 Nicole Losch, Burlington
12 Amy Bell, VTrans
13 Matthew Langham, VTrans
14 Jonathon Weber, Local Motion
15 Bob Henneberger, Seniors
16 Jon Rauscher, Winooski
17 Barbara Elliott, Huntington
18 Robin Pierce, Essex Junction
19 Sandy Thibault, CATMA
20 Kirsten Jensen, Milton
21 Chris Jolly, FHWA
22 Dennis Lutz, Essex
23 Dean Bloch, Charlotte
24 Dave Allerton, Milton
25 Mary Anne Michaels, Rail
26 Andrea Morgante, Hinesburg
27 Katherine Sonnick, Jericho
28 Bruce Hoar, Williston
29
30

Staff

Charlie Baker, Executive Director
Eleni Churchill, Transportation Program Manager
Regina Mahony, Planning Program Manager
Bryan Davis, Senior Transportation Planner
Christine Forde, Senior Transportation Planner
Jason Charest, Senior Transportation Planning Engineer
Sai Sarepalli, Senior Transportation Planning Engineer
Chris Dubin, Senior Transportation Planner
Marshall Distel, Transportation Planner

Guests/Public

Laura Jacoby, Old Spokes Home
John Jackman, Hoyle Tanner
Jon Olin, Hoyle Tanner
Gustave Sexauer, City of Burlington DPW

31 1. Bryan Osborne called the meeting to order at 9:00 AM.

32
33 **2. Consent Agenda**

34 BARBARA ELLIOT MADE A MOTION TO APPROVE THE CONSENT AGENDA, SECONDED BY
35 NICOLE LOSCH. THE MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.
36

37 **3. Approval of Minutes**

38 Bryan Osborne asked for any changes, which there were none. BOB HENNEBERGER MADE A
39 MOTION TO APPROVE THE MINUTES OF MARCH 3, 2021, SECONDED BY DENNIS LUTZ.
40 THE MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.
41

42 **4. Public Comments**

43 Laura Jacoby, Executive Director of the Old Spokes Home, asked about including underserved
44 populations in project planning and adding equity as part of the VPSP2 scoring process. She has an
45 example to share with the CCRPC. Charlie noted that the VPSP2 agenda item memo should have
46 included information that the CCRPC and VTrans are working to develop a transportation equity
47 screening tool to be used as part of the project prioritization process.
48

49 **5. Asset Management Roundtable Discussion**

50 Chris Dubin, CCRPC staff, introduced John Jackman from Hoyle Tanner who presented an example asset
51 management strategy from DEC's Drinking Water Asset Management Program as a foundation as how
52 communities can scale an asset management platform, and showed an example of a community in New
53 Hampshire that has a comprehensive asset management system. Andrea asked who enters the data into the
54 online system. John said that in some cases operators carry tablets and can enter information in the field,

1 create work orders, and so on. Departments tend to have their own work flows, and higher level
2 management like directors access the dashboard but don't get into the system details. Andrea asked how
3 much data entry adds to an employee's time. The TAC then held a roundtable discussion of how
4 Chittenden County municipalities are addressing asset management with information provided by
5 Winooski, Colchester, South Burlington and Burlington. Bryan Osborne said that Colchester has
6 completed condition assessments for most asset classes over the past 10 years, and the next step is to
7 enhance the existing asset management relationship with the CCRPC and work to develop in-house
8 capability. Jon Rauscher said that Winooski implemented a software system (NexGen) for all DPW
9 divisions but isn't using the full functionality of the software. They mainly use the system to track service
10 requests and selected work order tasks but not for risk assessment. They tend to push data into ArcGIS
11 and are looking at how to make the data useful for City Council and others. Justin Rabidoux said that
12 South Burlington Public Works uses MicroPaver for road asset management and Dude Solutions for
13 tracking of work orders, citizen requests, etc. They use GIS for stormwater and utilities. The City just
14 hired a Physical Plant Director who will propose asset management solutions in the next couple of
15 months. The Planning and Zoning office is looking into Permitting Workflow software that would
16 become the entry point for applicants to submit materials, staff to review/comment, public to access, etc.
17 Gustave Sexauer said that Burlington is beginning an implementation of a CMMS/EAM software and
18 taking an inventory of their GIS-based asset inventories to prepare for moving data to a cloud-hosted
19 server. The City will use the new CMMS/EAM software to track work against assets and record regularly
20 updated condition ratings which will allow them to better track lifecycle costs and business risk exposure
21 while providing better data for making capital planning decisions. Chris Dubin noted that we don't have
22 much information from rural towns but they typically have fewer resources for these systems. Andrea
23 asked TAC members to value all assets that can be categorized including street trees and public park
24 lands.

25 26 **6. Proposed Project List from VPSP2**

27 Christine Forde, CCRPC staff, referred the TAC to the agenda item memo. She reviewed the VPSP2
28 prioritization process and presented the Potential Regionally Driven Projects list. As a starting point, staff
29 is recommending the TAC select 10 projects, no more than 1 per town, that score 80 or higher to submit
30 to VTrans for inclusion in the State's Transportation Capital Program. Christine noted this prioritization
31 process happens every two years and that CCRPC is open to changing those criteria, they were created as
32 a starting point. Discussion ensued. Andrea asked that the TAC consider more than one project per town
33 if they are important enough. Dennis asked if there are more projects that aren't included on this list, and
34 Christine noted this is the list of asset driven VTrans projects and CCRPC's regionally driven projects,
35 but towns may have more local projects. Dennis pointed out that this list has a lot of inner core projects
36 but doesn't seem to include rural communities. Christine noted the list doesn't include bridges in this
37 round and she's not aware of other rural projects that aren't on the list. Charlie said that paving is another
38 category and another list, as in the long-term project in the Metropolitan Transportation Plan. The current
39 list is short- and medium-term projects. Bruce asked for more consideration or weighting of projects that
40 were part of the Circ Alternatives process given the governor's commitment at the time. Bryan said that if
41 we look at projects with scores less than 80 we could include other towns in the list of 10 to submit.
42 Dennis said the Essex Allen Martin project doesn't need to be included in this list since the Town is doing
43 some work in the near term. He suggested we consider two projects for Burlington and two for South
44 Burlington, then one project for other towns. Charlie pointed out that this strategy could align with the
45 CCRPC Board's MPO voting differences which are based on population. Bryan asked if there was an
46 update on the Circ Alternatives projects, and Charlie noted that the phase 3 projects are part of this
47 process, the other phases are already in the capital program. Dennis asked if CCRPC could work with
48 VTrans to identify where the Susie Wilson corridor project is as part of this process. Andrea asked if cost
49 is factor to help understand the value of a project, perhaps more smaller projects could be completed.
50 Charlie noted this exercise is to prioritize need, and cost will be a factor. Bryan noted that the Bayside
51 project is a priority but the Lime Kiln project is not. Jon noted that VTrans is paving Route 15 so it
52 doesn't need to be on the list, and Main Street is already in process. East Allen is a priority for Winooski.
53 Nicole said that the Colchester/Riverside project would likely be tied to the Winooski River bridge

1 replacement so it could come off the list, but include the Colchester/Prospect intersection. The western
2 Main Street project is moving forward by the City. Amy Bell suggested keeping the Colchester/Riverside
3 project on the list since bridges will be scored next year and could include the Winooski River bridge.
4 This could present an opportunity to coordinate the projects. Robin said that Amtrak station is a priority
5 for Essex Junction. Charlie asked about VT 116/Charlotte Road, and Andrea replied it's a priority but
6 there are questions about how the VT 116/CVU signal will affect the corridor. Bruce noted the Williston
7 projects are in priority order: DDI, Grid Street, Mountain View. Jonathon Weber asked if it would be
8 possible to see the total scores for each of the 8 criteria, which Christine will share. Dennis suggested that
9 towns review and comment on the project list this week. Charlie reiterated that staff is working on a
10 transportation equity screening process to be shared at the May TAC meeting.

11

12 **7. Status of Projects and Subcommittee Reports**

13 See the project list on the back of the agenda. TAC members are encouraged to contact CCRPC staff with
14 any questions.

15

16 **8. CCRPC Board Meeting Report**

17 In March the Board warned a public hearing for the FY22 UPWP and Budget at their May Meeting,
18 approved the 2021 Westford Town Plan, confirmed Westford's planning process, and granted an
19 affirmative determination of energy compliance to the 2021 Westford Town Plan, approved the comments
20 and suggested edits to the VTrans Draft State Rail Plan, heard a presentation from VTrans on the VPSP2
21 Process and Initial Review of Potential FY23 Transportation Projects, asked for volunteers to serve on the
22 Equity Leadership Team.

23

24 **9. Chairman's/Members' Items:**

- 25 • The Future of Rural Transit project is looking for a partner school Supervisory Union and
26 community in Vermont to study the feasibility of introducing combined public transit and school
27 transportation using electric buses. A brief application due April 16 is now available for
28 completion by interested Supervisory Unions. The Application and background information can
29 be seen on the EAN website in the Resources section of this webpage:
30 <https://www.eanvt.org/events-and-initiatives/future-rural-transit/>.
- 31 • **AARP Community Challenge Grant Program:** AARP Vermont invites community
32 organizations and local governments to apply for the [2021 Community Challenge](#) grant program,
33 *now through April 14*. Grants fund quick-action projects that can range from several hundred
34 dollars for small, short-term activities to several thousand or tens of thousands for larger projects.
35 The Community Challenge is open to 501(c)(3), 501(c)(4) and 501(c)(6) nonprofits and
36 government entities. Other types of organizations will be considered on a case-by-case basis. The
37 application deadline is 8:00 p.m. ET, April 14, 2021, and all projects must be completed by
38 November 10, 2021. To submit an application and view past grantees, visit
39 www.AARP.org/CommunityChallenge.

40
41 Bryan Davis said that VTrans is planning to release their bike/ped grant materials on April 14 with a due
42 date of June 4 and asked if there are concerns with that timeline, which there were none.

43
44 The next TAC meeting is scheduled for Tuesday, May 4.

45
46 ROBIN PIERCE MADE A MOTION TO ADJOURN, SECONDED BY BRUCE HOAR, APPROVED
47 UNANIMOUSLY. The meeting adjourned at 10:43 AM.

48
49 Respectfully submitted, Bryan Davis



Chittenden County Transportation Advisory Committee

May 4, 2021

Agenda Item 7: Discussion Item

2023 Transportation Project Prioritization using VTrans Project Selection and Prioritization System (VPSP2): Discussion of Transportation Equity Screen

Issues: At the April TAC meeting, CCRPC staff presented the scoring of 29 Roadway and Traffic & Safety regionally driven projects using the VPSP2 Qualifications Sheet. TAC members then identified 17 of those projects, five of which were already included in the VTrans list, to send to VTrans for their scoring and evaluation. The expectation was that VTrans would provide their scoring by the May TAC meeting for members to review and then select the final list of projects to recommend to the CCRPC Board to send to VTrans for consideration in their capital program. Unfortunately, VTrans will not be able to provide their scores by the May 4th TAC meeting.

Please review the [Agenda Item 6 Memo](#) in the April TAC packet for more background on the VPSP2 process. Note that this is year 1 of the pilot VPSP2 process that focuses only on Roadway, Traffic and Safety, and Paving projects. Year two of the pilot will consider bridge projects. This current project list is based on two of the five ways in which projects can be added to the VTrans Transportation Capital Program: Asset Driven (based on VTrans asset management systems), and Regionally Driven (based on CCRPC recommendations).

Transportation equity is not currently part of the VPSP2 criteria, but it is necessary to ensure public funds are being allocated to projects that minimize burdens and maximize benefits, particularly to traditionally underserved populations. Between the April and May TAC meetings CCRPC staff engaged in a process to qualitatively evaluate the equity impact of each of the 17 selected projects on people living in and near the project area, as well as people who would use the transportation facility. **The general outline of the Equity Screening Process is described below, followed by the equity screen and VPSP2 scores.**

We (CCRPC staff) acknowledge there is much room for improvement in this process and offer this as a starting point to developing a transportation equity screen. We also acknowledge that applying this tool at this stage of the VPSP2 process is too late, but this provides a first chance at doing better at evaluating projects through an equity lens. In the coming months and years this type of screening will be central to CCRPC functions including the annual UPWP solicitation, individual project planning/scoping/etc., the Metropolitan Transportation Plan, project prioritization through VPSP2, and more.

We have asked for assistance in this current transportation equity screen from Mark Hughes of Racial Justice Alliance and the Transportation Equity Coalition assembled by Old Spokes Home. We will re-screen the projects using feedback from these partners before presenting the VPSP2 project recommendation at the CCRPC Board meeting.

CCRPC – VPSP2 Initial Equity Screening Process:

- Reviewed project planning reports to understand:
 - Existing conditions such as traffic volumes, crash history, walk/bike facilities, transit service, destinations such as education, healthcare, civic centers, employment centers, natural areas, etc.

- Recommended changes such as roadway redesign, intersection/signal changes, walk/bike facilities, streetscape changes, etc.
- Used the [ECOS Map viewer](#) to understand some of the populations living in and near the project area. The data included:
 - Race (currently categorized as % non-white; there is a need for disaggregated data)
 - % of population linguistically isolated
 - % of population with income below Federal poverty level
 - Subsidized housing as well as type of housing (single, multifamily, group quarters, mobile home)
 - Opportunity index which includes data on poverty rate, school proficiency, homeownership rate, unemployment, and job access.
- Considered our personal knowledge and experience of the project area, facilities, outreach during the scoping study, etc.

Using that information, we then applied an impact-benefits based approach to assign a general equity “rating.” We considered the following project aspects, used by the New Jersey Transportation Planning Authority as described in this [Transportation Equity Project Prioritization Criteria paper](#) by Augustina Krupp:

- Higher positive ranking: Address safety problems, results in reduced noise, air or pollutant impacts, mitigates community cohesion or other social impacts; mitigates cumulative impacts, or improves accessibility to employment, education, healthcare, and other essential services for Environmental Justice (EJ) communities
- Medium positive ranking: Add/improve vehicle, bicycle, transit, or pedestrian connectivity within EJ communities
- Lower ranking: Repair roadways or bridges, or streetscapes unless project would result in permanent negative impacts to traffic conditions in the neighborhood (e.g., by bringing in more vehicle traffic) or would involve significant right-of-way acquisition in EJ communities

We deemed some projects “neutral” if they didn’t seem to have a positive or negative impact on EJ communities. We didn’t rate any projects as negative using this approach.

We appreciate TAC feedback on the Equity Screening Process we used and ways we can improve it. We would also like to know: 1) Do these project equity ratings look right? 2) How would these ratings influence the final project scores and ranking? Should we add points based on the screen (e.g., 20 points for high impact, 15 points for medium, and 10 for low? Other suggestions?

We will apply the updated equity screen as directed by the TAC and present again at the June 1 meeting.

For more information contact: Christine Forde, cforde@ccrpcvt.org
Bryan Davis, bdavis@ccrpcvt.org

Next Page: VPSP2 Scoring and Transportation Equity Screen for All Potential Chittenden County Regionally Driven Projects

CCRPC Proposed Regionally Driven and Asset Driven (VTrans) Potential Project List -- Roadway and Traffic & Safety Programs (includes paving projects)

April 19, 2021

Project	VPSP2 Score*	DRAFT Equity Screen^	Safety Max Points = 20	Asset Condition Max Points = 20	Mobility Max Points =5	Connectivity Max Points = 10	Economic Access Max Points = 15	Resiliency Max Points = 10	Environment Max Points = 10	Community Max Points = 10	Health Access Max Points = 5
South Burlington - US2 Intersection and Roadway Improvements - Dorset Street to Garden Street	98	Medium	20	20	5	10	13	5	10	10	5
South Burlington - US2 Intersection and Roadway Improvements - Garden Street to VT116	98	Medium	20	20	5	10	13	5	10	10	5
Winooski - East Allen Street Improvements	98	High	20	20	5	10	13	5	10	10	5
Shelburne - US7/Harbor Road Improvements - ON ASSET DRIVEN LIST	96	Medium	20	20	5	10	11	5	10	10	5
Burlington - Colchester Avenue/Riverside Avenue Intersection Improvements	90	High	20	18	5	8	9	6	9	10	5
Burlington - Main Street Great Street - Battery to Union	84	High	20	12	5	10	12	3	7	10	5
Williston - Exit 12 Stage 3 - Diverging Diamond Interchange - CIRC ALT PHASE III	82	Medium	20	14	5	10	13	4	5	6	5
Burlington - Colchester Avenue/Prospect Street Intersection Improvements	80	Medium	20	10	5	9	11	5	5	10	5
Colchester - Roundabout at Bayside Park Intersection - ON ASSET DRIVEN LIST	77	Medium	20	10	5	5	8	5	9	10	5
Williston - Exit 12 Stage 2 - New Grid Streets and VT2A Intersection - CIRC ALT PHASE III	69	Low	20	0	5	8	13	0	10	8	5
Essex Jct. Train Station Access and Circulation Improvements	67	Medium	10	14	5	10	8	0	10	10	0
St George - VT2A/VT116 Intersection -- ON ASSET DRIVEN LIST	65	High	20	18	0	5	5	3	7	4	3
Williston - Mountain View Road Multimodal Improvements: Old Stage Road to VT2A - CIRC ALT PHASE III	57	Low	20	2	0	6	4	4	10	8	3
South Burlington - VT116/Cheesefactory Road ON ASSET DRIVEN LIST	55	Neutral	20	12	0	3	4	4	7	2	3
Jericho - VT117/Skunk Hollow Road Improvements - ON ASSET DRIVEN LIST	55	Neutral	20	6	0	4	4	6	9	6	0
Milton - US7/ Racine/ Legion/ Bartlett/ West Milton Road Improvements	55	High	10	12	0	8	8	4	2	8	3
Essex - North Williston Road Hazard Mitigation - CIRC Alt Phase III	47	Low	10	14	0	2	4	4	7	6	0

*VPSP2 score is based on safety, asset condition, mobility, connectivity, economic access, resiliency, environment, community, health access

View this worksheet for more detailed scoring information: <https://www.ccrpcvt.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/04/VPSP2QualificationSheetAllProjects.pdf>

^ Equity screen is based on information about the populations that live within the project area, populations that would use and benefit from the facility, the types and potential impacts of project improvements