1 2 3	CHITTENDEN COUNTY REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION TRANSPORTATION ADVISORY COMMITTEE MINUTES			
4				
4 5	DATE:	Tuesday, June 7, 2022		
6	TIME:	9:00 a.m.		
7	PLACE:	Virtual Meeting via Zoom		
8				
9	Members Present		Bruce Hoar, Williston	
10	Amy Bell, VTrans		Jon Rauscher, Winooski	
11	Matthew Arancio, VTrans		Jonathon Weber, Local Motion	
12	Dave Pelletier, VTrans		Mary Anne Michaels, Rail	
13	Tina Bohl, VTrans		Tom DiPietro, South Burlington	
14	Bryan Osborne, Colchester			
15	Bob Henneberger, Seniors		Staff	
16	Dennis Lutz, Essex		Charlie Baker, Executive Director	
17	Dierdre Holmes, Charlotte		Eleni Churchill, Transportation Program Manager	
18	Dean Bloch, Charlotte		Bryan Davis, Senior Transportation Planner	
19	Barbara Elliott, Huntington		Christine Forde, Senior Transportation Planner	
20	Ravi Venkataraman, Richmond		Marshall Distel, Senior Transportation Planner	
21	Kirsten Jensen, Milton		Sai Sarepalli, Senior Transportation Planning Engineer	
22	Sandy Thibault, CATMA		Jason Charest, Senior Transportation Planning Engineer	
23	Chris Damiani, GMT			
24	Adam Wechsler, Jericho		Guest	
25	Robin Pierce, Essex Junction		Jonathan Slason, RSG	
26				
27				
28	1. Bryan Osborne called the meeting to order at 9:02 AM.			

1. Bryan Osborne called the meeting to order at 9:02 AM.

30 2. <u>Consent Agenda</u>

DENNIS LUTZ MADE A MOTION TO APPROVE THE CONSENT AGENDA, SECONDED BY
 BOB HENNEBERGER. THE MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

33

34 3. <u>Approval of May 2, 2022 Minutes</u>

BARBARA ELLIOTT MADE A MOTION TO APPROVE THE MINUTES OF MAY 2, 2022,
SECONDED BY JONATHON WEBER. THE MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.
37

38 4. <u>Public Comments</u>

39 No comments from the public.

40

41 5. <u>FY2023-2026 Draft TIP</u>

42 Christine Forde, CCRPC, provided background on the Transportation Improvement Program (TIP),

43 reviewed the various sections and components of the document, and gave a presentation on the draft

44 FY2023–2026 TIP. Note that airport items are included for information purposes only because the

45 CCRPC, as a metropolitan planning organization (MPO) doesn't have control over aviation funds.

46 Chirstine noted this is a large TIP which reflects a number of large projects that have been in development

47 for a number of years. For example, the New Facility/Major Roadway Upgrades category includes the
48 Champlain Parkway, Railyard Enterprise, and Exit 17. The Safety/Traffic Operations/ITS category has

46 Champlain Parkway, Railyard Enterprise, and Exit 17. The Safety/Traffic Operations/11S category has
 49 the highest number of projects (18). There is a significant amount of transit funding which goes to Green

50 Mountain Transit. The TIP also includes a number of Circ Alternative projects. Jonathon asked if we

51 have a high level sense of how we're doing with system preservation and are we spending

52 enough to keep up with it. Christine says we take a closer look during development of the long

53 range transportation plan. Eleni adds that we'll be looking at performance measures for progress

54 and we'll have more information in the fall. Dennis said it's important that we look in retrospect at

the Circ Alts projects and whether they addressed the congestion they were designed to address since the
 Circ Highway wasn't constructed.

3 DENNIS LUTZ MADE A MOTION THAT THE CCRPC BOARD WARN A PUBLIC HEARING FOR

- 4 THEIR JULY MEETING FOR THE FY2023–2026 TIP, AND THAT THE BOARD APPROVE THE
- 5 TIP IN JULY, SECONDED BY SANDY THIBAULT. THE MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.
- 6 7

6. Regional Park & Ride Plan Update and Discussion

8 Jonathan Slason, RSG, gave a brief overview of the project purpose and schedule, reviewed the revised

9 vision and goals, shared an facility inventory and commute shed map, noting the absence of a facility in
10 the south/Rt 7 area, shared a summary of facility findings and occupancy data (there has been a decline in
11 use during COVID), pointed out the decline in transit ridership and correlation in lower Park and Ride

12 use, and presented the methodology to screen, prioritize and implement future facilities.

13

14 Jonathon Weber suggested that Park and Rides closer to Burlington consider including bikeshare stations, 15 and he noted that Local Motion is working with VTrans to relocate the existing bike lockers in Burlington 16 and Winooski to Park and Ride facilities and they can evaluate and the utilization rates at some point. He 17 also asked that this team push a little harder on the quality of the bike facilities connecting to Park and 18 Rides which are often located on state highways, and painted bike lanes are high stress facilities and he'd 19 like to see facilities with a bicycle level of traffic stress of 1 or 2. He asked if we have data to support that 20 Park and Rides are reducing greenhouse gas emissions and vehicle miles traveled? Jonathan Slason said 21 we know there's consolidation at these facilities, but we don't know exactly what that looks like at this

time, so let's see if can track how much consolidation onto transit or into cars or on bikes is happening.

23

24 Deirdre asked if there is a way to measure demand for a potential facility that doesn't yet exist, such as in 25 Charlotte? Jonathan said there is demand correlated with certain activities, and that would be a location to 26 go to, and it's primarily the commuting mode that we're looking to shift at a Park and Ride, and we know 27 how many people are employed in a location, we know generally where they work because of census data 28 and employment data, so we know how many people are going to the same origin and destinations, so we 29 can roughly connect the percentage of people who can combine trips, and we know areas with limited 30 access to transit to get to those locations, so level of transit access and number of employed persons gives 31 a starting point. 32

- 33 Chris from GMT noted that two of the biggest factors from the transit perspective are the frequency of 34 service and the travel time of bus versus car. He also asked why the Ferrisburg/Vergennes facility wasn't 35 included on the Route 7 corridor as it's served by TVT for the Link route. Jonathan said the team didn't 36 have data on its occupancy and we didn't do an existing facility summary of it because it's outside the 37 county, but we should acknowledge that's it's just outside the county boundary. Jonathan asked Chris if 38 the travel time of the buses is tracked, and if it's changed over time, has there been more or less 39 congestion, and is this something that could be shared? Chris says GMT has tracked this at certain times 40 and will look into what is available to share. Jonathan mentioned the ridership demand piece and noted 41 that Steven Falbel, Steadman Hill provided some data but if there are data points or trends that would be 42 valuable to include in the plan to show correlation on Park and Ride occupancy and transit ridership to let 43 him know.
- 44

45 Sandy agreed with Jonathon Weber that other transportation options need to be near these facilities such
46 as in Colchester. She noted that parking utilization is impacted by things like working from home, there's
47 been a decline at UVM and on the Hill. CATMA will be conducting their fall survey and said they could

47 been a decline at UVM and on the Hill. CATMA will be conducting their fall survey and said they 48 add a question to it to get additional data. Jason Charest asked if a question could be included to

40 add a question to it to get additional data. Jason Charest asked if a question could be included to 49 understand people's willingness to share a vehicle with others. Sandy noted it might be related to people's

schedules rather than comfort.

51

Jonathan suggested that rather focus on facility prioritization, the Plan instead consider what would be thenecessary steps for implementation.

1 Amy Bell asked what role do municipal park and rides play in this Plan, it seems like the focus is on state facilities? There might be smaller scale facilities that play a role in meeting Park and Ride demand.

- 2 3 Jonathan says RSG has been carrying out the work regardless of facility ownership and jurisdiction, but
- 4 notes that the implementation and maintenance would matter by jurisdiction. He asked CCRPC staff to
- 5 respond as to whether and how implementation would be affected by the municipal versus state facilities.
- 6 Eleni would like to consider this further and the role that/potential impact of smaller municipal facilities.
- 7 Amy suggests that those local facilities could meet demand in areas where this study shows but doesn't
- 8 indicate facility. Need to consider how many might be needed if existing ones are currently being utilized 9 to their full potential.
- 10

11 The TAC gave consensus on the direction RSG is headed. The project is slated to be complete by the end

- 12 of July. Deirdre asked if RSG has come across a price per gallon that changes people's driving behavior? 13 Jonathan said there isn't specific price but there is price elasticity so that as fuel prices increase, behavior 14 changes to some extent. Also, new technologies like being able to attend meetings virtually can affect 15 transportation behavior.
- 16

17 7. I-89 2050 Study Update

18 Eleni Churchill, CCRPC, gave an overview of the project including vision, goals, and schedule. Jason

- 19 Charest, CCRPC, then reviewed the project process including a corridor assessment and corridor
- 20 "bundles" evaluation. Bob asked if electrification of fleet and impacts on fuel consumption were taken
- 21 into account? Yes that was part of bundle 2 which modeled 90% of light duty fleet being electric.
- 22 Eleni reviewed a table of final recommendations (short, medium, long term) which were approved by the
- 23 CCRPC Board in May. This includes forming a monitoring committee that will meet to review data,
- 24 metrics, transportation demand management (TDM) implementation and so on. The TDM bundle
- 25 includes an aggressive scenario in the short term, and the implementation and resulting impacts will
- 26 provide important data and information about other future needed I-89 investments from this study.
- 27 The next step is to work on completing the final draft report. https://envision89.com/ 28

29 8. E&D Summit Recap

- 30 Marshall Distel, CCRPC, provided an overview and recap of the Third Annual E&D (Elders and Persons 31 with Disabilities) Transportation Summit held June 3 in Burlington. This event was aimed at providers
- 32 and partners from around the state. The agenda and presentations are available at:
- 33 https://vtrans.vermont.gov/public-transit/E-and-D
- 34 Sandy noted that CATMA is hosting a transportation summit in the fall and will be in touch about some 35 of the information from the E&D Summit.

36

37 9. Status of Projects and Subcommittee Reports

38 See bulleted list at the end of the agenda for current CCRPC projects. TAC members are encouraged to 39 ask staff for more information on the status of any of these on-going or recently completed projects.

40

41 10. **CCRPC Board Meeting Report**

42 In May the Board had a public hearing for and voted to approve a Major TIP Amendment, held a public 43 hearing for and voted to approve the FY23 UPWP and Budget, voted to approve the submission of the 44 VPSP2 Asset and Regionally Driven Potential Project List to VTrans, heard a staff presentation on the I-45 89 2050 Study draft recommendations, heard an update on CCRPC equity contract and tasks, and heard 46 other updates from the Executive Director. 47

48 11. **Chair/Members' Items**

- 49 2022 VTrans Bicycle and Pedestrian Grant Program is open. Applications must be received by 50 1:00 p.m., June 8. https://vtrans.vermont.gov/highway/local-projects/bike-ped
- 51 VTrans Municipal Roads Grants-in-Aid program announced – letters of intent due June 24, for • 52 more information visit https://vtrans.vermont.gov/highway/Municipal-Grant-in-aid-Program or

contact Ross Gouin, 802-595-2381, Ross.Gouin@vermont.gov.

- 2 Safe Streets and Roads for All: The Bipartisan Infrastructure Law (BIL) established the new Safe • 3 Streets and Roads for All (SS4A) discretionary program to support regional, local, and tribal 4 initiatives through grants to prevent roadway deaths and serious injuries.
 - 0 SS4A website with the notice of funding opportunity (NOFO): https://www.transportation.gov/SS4A
 - Webinars with more info: https://www.transportation.gov/grants/SS4A/webinars

8 Charlie recognized Dennis Lutz and his upcoming retirement and thank him for his years of service to the

- 9 Town of Essex, CCMPO and CCRPC. Dennis said that Aaron Martin will be the new TAC
- 10 representative. Dennis will continue with some work for the Town on a part time basis in the fall.
- 11

1

5

6

7

12 The next TAC meeting is scheduled for Tuesday, July 5, 2022 but may be cancelled, we will let TAC members know.

- 13
- 14

15 The meeting adjourned at 10:46.

- 16
- 17 Respectfully submitted, Bryan Davis